

## Departmental Nomination for Doctoral Dissertation and Terminal Master's Project Completion Grant (Form GS-21pcg)

The student identified herewith is nominated for a doctoral dissertation terminal master's project completion grant. This application is submitted to the Graduate School for the:						
☐ Spring 2013 semester and is due by 4:00 p.m. on Monday, October 22, 2012						
☐ Fall 2013 semester and is due by 4:00 p	o.m. on Friday, March 22, 2	2013				
Instructions for Department Chair: Complete this ensuring that the student meets the eligibility criteri		e student'	s commi	ttee 1	memb	er(s),
SECTION I: STUDENT INFORMATION						
Name (Last, First and Middle)	TUid	Anticipated	ed Graduation Date			
School/College	Program	Degree				
SECTION II: ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA  With the exception of the required project or dissertation, student has completed all requirements for the degree.  It is feasible for student to complete the degree within the time limit for the degree.  If enrolled in a doctorate program, student has an approved dissertation proposal on file in the Graduate School and has been advanced to candidacy at least three weeks prior to the application deadline.						
SECTION III: CRITERIA RANKING BY COMMITTEE			<b>.</b>	Panki	ina	
SECTION III: CRITERIA RANKING BY COMMITTEE  Criteria			Outstandi	Ranki ng	ing Good	Fair
						Fair
Criteria	k, including GPA, publications, e					Fair
Criteria      How realistic is the plan for completion?     What is the quality of the student's overall academic work and other scholarly or creative work?     What is the departmental faculty's level of enthusiasm for	r nominating the student?					Fair
Criteria     Now realistic is the plan for completion?     What is the quality of the student's overall academic work and other scholarly or creative work?	r nominating the student?					Fair
Criteria      How realistic is the plan for completion?     What is the quality of the student's overall academic work and other scholarly or creative work?     What is the departmental faculty's level of enthusiasm for	r nominating the student?					Fair
Criteria     How realistic is the plan for completion?     What is the quality of the student's overall academic work and other scholarly or creative work?     What is the departmental faculty's level of enthusiasm for 4. Is there any additional information that should be considered.	r nominating the student? ered? Please provide below:		Outstandi	ng		
1. How realistic is the plan for completion? 2. What is the quality of the student's overall academic work and other scholarly or creative work? 3. What is the departmental faculty's level of enthusiasm for 4. Is there any additional information that should be conside SECTION IV: RANKING WITHIN DEPARTMENT	r nominating the student? ered? Please provide below:		Outstandi	ng	Good Rankir	
1. How realistic is the plan for completion? 2. What is the quality of the student's overall academic work and other scholarly or creative work? 3. What is the departmental faculty's level of enthusiasm for 4. Is there any additional information that should be considered.  SECTION IV: RANKING WITHIN DEPARTMENT  Where does the student stand in comparison with the other standards.	r nominating the student? ered? Please provide below:		Outstandi	ng	Good Rankir	
1. How realistic is the plan for completion? 2. What is the quality of the student's overall academic work and other scholarly or creative work? 3. What is the departmental faculty's level of enthusiasm for 4. Is there any additional information that should be considered.  SECTION IV: RANKING WITHIN DEPARTMENT  Where does the student stand in comparison with the other standard in comparison with the other standard in the stan	r nominating the student? ered? Please provide below: students in the department?		Outstandi	Overa	Good Rankir	ng
1. How realistic is the plan for completion? 2. What is the quality of the student's overall academic work and other scholarly or creative work? 3. What is the departmental faculty's level of enthusiasm for 4. Is there any additional information that should be considered.  SECTION IV: RANKING WITHIN DEPARTMENT  Where does the student stand in comparison with the other standard in comparison with the other standard in the stan	r nominating the student? ered? Please provide below: students in the department?		Outstandi	Overa	Good	ng