

Temple University Student Feedback Form - Fall 2008

CRN:
 INSTR. NAME:
 DEPARTMENT: ()
 COURSE #:
 SECT. #:
 CAMPUS:
 COLLEGE:

TIMES TAUGHT:
 INSTR. TUID:
 COURSE NAME:
 INSTRUCTOR: 1 of 1
 FORMS USED: S1 (Single Instructor)

ENROLLMENT: 19
 COMPLETED EVALUATIONS: 14

		Low = 1	Moderate = 2	High = 3	Not Answered	Mean
1. Before enrolling, my level of interest in the subject matter of this course was	n= 14	(0)	(4)	(10)	(0)	
	Section	0%	29%	71%		2.7
	Department	9%	38%	53%		2.4
	College	13%	41%	45%		2.3
	Level	13%	45%	42%		2.3
	University	17%	47%	36%		2.2

		A = 4	B = 3	C = 2	D = 1	F = 0	Mean
2. Expected grade in this course	n= 14	(0)	(11)	(3)	(0)	(0)	
	Section	0%	79%	21%	0%	0%	2.8
	Department	40%	53%	7%	0%	0%	3.3
	College	48%	45%	7%	0%	0%	3.4
	Level	46%	44%	9%	1%	0%	3.4
	University	46%	44%	10%	1%	0%	3.3

		H = 3	HP = 2	P = 1	F = 0
Expected Medical grade (if applicable)	n=				
	Section				
	Department				
	College				
	Level				
	University				

NOT APPLICABLE

		Required = 1	Elective = 2	Mean
3. Course was: Required or Elective	n= 13	(11)	(2)	(1)
	Section	85%	15%	1.2
	Department	82%	18%	1.2
	College	71%	29%	1.3
	Level	72%	28%	1.3
	University	72%	28%	1.3

		8 or more = 7	6 up to 8 = 6	4 up to 6 = 5	3 up to 4 = 4	2 up to 3 = 3	1 up to 2 = 2	Less than 1 = 1	Not Answered	Mean
4. On average, hours per week spent preparing for class and completing course assignments	n= 13	(0)	(0)	(1)	(6)	(5)	(1)	(0)	(1)	
	Section	0%	0%	8%	46%	38%	8%	0%		3.5
	Department	4%	7%	15%	19%	27%	21%	6%		3.5
	College	5%	8%	15%	19%	25%	19%	9%		3.5
	Level	6%	10%	18%	21%	23%	17%	5%		3.8
	University	7%	10%	17%	20%	22%	17%	7%		3.8

Temple University Student Feedback Form - Fall 2008

CRN:
 INSTR. NAME:
 DEPARTMENT: ()
 COURSE #:
 SECT. #:
 CAMPUS:
 COLLEGE:

TIMES TAUGHT:
 INSTR. TUID:
 COURSE NAME:
 INSTRUCTOR: 1 of 1
 FORMS USED: S1 (Single Instructor)

ENROLLMENT: 19
 COMPLETED EVALUATIONS: 14

		Strongly Agree = 5	Agree = 4	Neutral = 3	Disagree = 2	Strongly Disagree = 1	Not Answered	Mean	Performance Level U=Upper M=Middle L=Lower
1. I came well prepared for class.	n= 14	(4)	(9)	(1)	(0)	(0)	(0)		
	Section	29%	64%	7%	0%	0%		4.2	
	Department	38%	48%	11%	2%	0%		4.2	
	College	39%	47%	11%	2%	1%		4.2	M
	Level	35%	49%	12%	2%	1%		4.2	
	University	34%	49%	13%	3%	1%		4.1	
2. The instructor clearly explained the educational objectives of this course.	n= 14	(8)	(6)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)		
	Section	57%	43%	0%	0%	0%		4.6	
	Department	51%	40%	6%	2%	0%		4.4	
	College	49%	38%	9%	4%	1%		4.3	U
	Level	45%	41%	9%	4%	2%		4.2	
	University	44%	41%	10%	4%	2%		4.2	
3. The instructor was well organized and prepared for class.	n= 14	(9)	(5)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)		
	Section	64%	36%	0%	0%	0%		4.6	
	Department	54%	35%	8%	2%	1%		4.4	
	College	51%	35%	9%	3%	2%		4.3	U
	Level	51%	37%	8%	3%	2%		4.3	
	University	49%	38%	8%	3%	1%		4.3	
4. The instructor was conscientious in meeting class and office hour responsibilities.	n= 14	(6)	(7)	(1)	(0)	(0)	(0)		
	Section	43%	50%	7%	0%	0%		4.4	
	Department	52%	37%	9%	1%	1%		4.4	
	College	50%	35%	11%	2%	1%		4.3	M
	Level	49%	38%	10%	2%	1%		4.3	
	University	48%	38%	11%	2%	1%		4.3	
5. The instructor promoted a classroom atmosphere in which I felt free to ask questions.	n= 14	(7)	(6)	(1)	(0)	(0)	(0)		
	Section	50%	43%	7%	0%	0%		4.4	
	Department	59%	34%	5%	1%	0%		4.5	
	College	57%	32%	7%	3%	1%		4.4	M
	Level	53%	34%	8%	3%	2%		4.3	
	University	51%	35%	9%	3%	2%		4.3	
6. The instructor provided useful feedback about exams, projects, and assignments.	n= 14	(3)	(8)	(3)	(0)	(0)	(0)		
	Section	21%	57%	21%	0%	0%		4.0	
	Department	49%	37%	9%	4%	1%		4.3	
	College	48%	33%	11%	5%	3%		4.2	M
	Level	45%	36%	11%	5%	3%		4.1	
	University	43%	36%	13%	5%	3%		4.1	
7. So far, the instructor has applied grading policies fairly.	n= 14	(2)	(5)	(3)	(3)	(1)	(0)		
	Section	14%	36%	21%	21%	7%		3.3	
	Department	48%	38%	9%	4%	1%		4.3	
	College	47%	36%	11%	4%	2%		4.2	L
	Level	47%	37%	10%	4%	2%		4.2	
	University	45%	38%	11%	3%	2%		4.2	
8. The instructor taught this course well.	n= 14	(10)	(4)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)		
	Section	71%	29%	0%	0%	0%		4.7	
	Department	51%	36%	8%	2%	2%		4.3	
	College	49%	33%	11%	4%	3%		4.2	U
	Level	47%	34%	11%	5%	4%		4.2	
	University	45%	35%	11%	5%	3%		4.1	

Temple University Student Feedback Form - Fall 2008

CRN:
 INSTR. NAME:
 DEPARTMENT: ()
 COURSE #:
 SECT. #:
 CAMPUS:
 COLLEGE:

TIMES TAUGHT:
 INSTR. TUID:
 COURSE NAME:
 INSTRUCTOR: 1 of 1
 FORMS USED: S1 (Single Instructor)

ENROLLMENT: 19
 COMPLETED EVALUATIONS: 14

		Strongly Agree = 5	Agree = 4	Neutral = 3	Disagree = 2	Strongly Disagree = 1	Not Answered	Mean	Performance Level U=Upper M=Middle L=Lower
9. The course content was consistent with the educational objectives of this course.	n= 14	(6)	(8)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)		
	Section	43%	57%	0%	0%	0%		4.4	
	Department	51%	40%	7%	1%	1%		4.4	M
	College	48%	39%	10%	2%	1%		4.3	
	Level	46%	41%	9%	2%	1%		4.3	
	University	45%	41%	10%	3%	1%		4.3	
10. The course increased my ability to analyze and critically evaluate ideas, arguments, and points of view.	n= 14	(7)	(6)	(1)	(0)	(0)	(0)		
	Section	50%	43%	7%	0%	0%		4.4	
	Department	45%	38%	13%	3%	1%		4.2	M
	College	43%	36%	14%	4%	2%		4.1	
	Level	42%	37%	15%	4%	2%		4.1	
	University	39%	37%	16%	5%	2%		4.1	
11. I learned a great deal in this course.	n= 14	(11)	(3)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)		
	Section	79%	21%	0%	0%	0%		4.8	
	Department	49%	36%	10%	3%	2%		4.3	U
	College	46%	34%	13%	4%	3%		4.2	
	Level	45%	36%	12%	4%	3%		4.2	
	University	43%	37%	13%	4%	3%		4.1	

Temple University Student Feedback Form - Fall 2008

CRN:
INSTR. NAME:

INSTRUCTOR: 1 of 1

Additional Items Report

Below is an analysis of responses in the "Additional Items" section of the student sheets.

This section was not scored because either additional (optional) items were not used or no additional items were answered.