

Fuel- or Time-Optimal Transfers Between Coplanar, Coaxial Ellipses Using Lambert's Theorem

Chang-Hee Won

Electronics and Telecommunications Research Institute, Taejon 305-600, Republic of Korea

Abstract

Undoubtedly, minimum-fuel and -time orbit transfer are the two major goals of the optimal orbit maneuver. This paper considers two coplanar elliptic orbits when the apsidal lines coincide. We analytically find the conditions for the two-impulse minimum-time transfer orbit using Lambert's theorem. In the minimum-time transfer, the transfer time is a decreasing function of a variable related to the transfer orbit's semimajor axis. Consequently there exists no unique minimum-time solution. Thus for the minimum-time case, there is a limiting solution only, however, there exists a unique solution in the case of minimum-fuel transfer, for which we find the necessary and sufficient conditions. Furthermore, as a special case, we consider when the transfer angle is one hundred and eighty degrees. In this case we show that we obtain the fuel-optimal Hohmann transfer orbit. We also derive the Hohmann transfer time and delta-velocity equations from more general equations, which are also obtained using Lambert's theorem. There is a tradeoff between minimum-time and -fuel transfer. Finally, we propose an optimal coplanar orbit maneuver algorithm for trading off the minimum-time goal against the minimum-fuel goal.

Introduction

Trajectory optimization with respect to fuel was performed as early as 1963 by Lawden¹ using the primer vector, but the optimal-time transfer was not addressed. The time versus fuel tradeoff for the rendezvous problem was addressed by Prussing and Chiu², who obtained the minimum-fuel, multiple-impulse, time fixed solutions for coplanar circular rendezvous problem. They also showed that the Hohmann transfer is the time-optimal solution for the optimal rendezvous. Prussing and Chiu developed an iterative minimization procedure to determine the optimal number of impulses and their positions and time using Lawden's primer vector. It is well-known that the Hohmann transfer is the minimum-fuel two-impulse transfer between two coplanar circular orbits³. For trajectory optimization problem, see Bryson and Ho⁴ and the references cited therein. Betts⁵ gave an excellent general survey of numerical methods for trajectory optimization.

A typical application of Lambert's theorem is to determine the transfer orbit from the connecting two position vectors and the transfer time. See^{6,7} and the references cited within. It is possible to perform, however, the optimal-fuel or -time transfer using Lambert's theorem. In this paper we formulate the transfer time and delta-velocity equations using Lambert's theorem. Then we derive the minimum-time transfer condition for the two-impulse elliptic-to-elliptic transfer. The necessary and sufficient conditions are also derived for minimum-fuel transfer when the transfer angle is anywhere between zero and three hundred sixty degrees. Furthermore, we show that the Hohmann transfer is the minimum-fuel two-impulse solution when the transfer angle is one hundred and eighty degrees.

This optimal transfer is being developed with satellite orbit maneuvers, especially satellite altitude maneuvers, in mind. More than two impulsive maneuvers are not being considered because when the initial orbit radius is 11.94 times smaller than the target orbit radius, the absolute minimum-fuel circle-to-circle coplanar transfer is the Hohmann transf

er and the orbits we are mainly interested in are all smaller than that^{8,9}. Thus, we consider just the two-impulse solutions. On the other hand, the optimal transfer time is another major factor in our development because it is important to know the time a spacecraft takes to reach a particular point in the orbit. In this case, we find the minimum-time solution assuming that two-impulses are used. This is necessary in the case of spacecraft interception and rendezvous. We consider the theories and algorithms involved in the fuel versus time tradeoff.

In this paper, we find the two-impulse minimum-time transfer orbit analytically. Moreover, we derive the necessary and sufficient conditions for the minimum-fuel orbit transfer problem using Lambert's theorem. We also state an algorithm to find the tradeoff between minimum-fuel and -time orbit transfer.

Problem Formulations

We assume two elliptic coplanar orbits when their apsidal lines coincide. Fig. 1 shows the initial orbit radius r_1 , target orbit radius r_2 , the initial orbit semimajor axis a_1 , the target orbit semimajor axis a_2 , the difference in the true anomaly (transfer angle) Δf , and the cord length, c . The semi-perimeter of the space triangle is given by

$$s = \frac{r_1 + r_2 + c}{2}. \quad (1)$$

Following Battin's formulation¹⁰, define a constant λ by

$$\lambda^2 = \frac{s - c}{s}. \quad (2)$$

Note that $\lambda \in [-1,1]$ and it is closely related to the transfer angle, Δf . Thus, λ relates to the cord length. For example, $\lambda = 0$ implies $\Delta f = \pi$ and $\lambda = \pm 1$ implies $\Delta f = 0$.

Also, the variable x is defined by

$$x^2 = 1 - \frac{a_m}{a}, \quad (3)$$

where a_m is the semi-major axis of the minimum-energy elliptic transfer orbit given as

$$a_m = \frac{s}{2}, \quad (4)$$

and a is the semi-major axis of the transfer orbit.

Transfer Time Equation

Using Lambert's theorem, we have the transfer time equation for elliptic (hyperbolic) transfer orbits as¹⁰

$$\Delta t = \sqrt{\frac{a_m^3}{\mu}} \left(\frac{\alpha - \sin \alpha}{\sin^3 \frac{\alpha}{2}} - \lambda^3 \frac{\beta - \sin \beta}{\sin^3 \frac{\beta}{2}} \right) \quad (5)$$

where $\mu = 3.986 \times 10^5 \text{ km}^3/\text{s}^2$ is the gravitational constant of the Earth. For hyperbolic orbits, we replace "sin" with "sinh" and multiply Eq. (5) by -1 . Following Battin¹⁰ we define

$$\alpha = 2 \arccos(x), \quad (\text{hyperbolic}; \quad x = \cosh \alpha / 2) \quad (6)$$

$$\beta = 2 \arccos(y), \quad (\text{hyperbolic}; \quad y = \cosh \beta / 2) \quad \text{and} \quad (7)$$

$$y = \sqrt{1 - \lambda^2 (1 - x^2)}. \quad (8)$$

Thus we have expressed the transfer time equation in terms of the new variable x . Eq. (5) can be utilized to find the minimum-time transfer orbit. In the next section, we differentiate Δt with respect to x to find the minimum transfer time orbit.

Before we consider this derivative, however, it is helpful to express α and β in term

s of geometric parameters, s, c , and a . When Eqs. (4) is substituted into Eq. (3), we obtain

$$x = \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{s}{2a}}. \quad (9)$$

Equating the above equation with Eq. (6) and using a simple trigonometric identity we obtain

$$\sin^2 \frac{\alpha}{2} = \frac{s}{2a}. \quad (10)$$

Similarly, substitute Eq. (2) and (9) into Eq. (8) to obtain $y = \sqrt{1 - (s-c)/(2a)}$. Also from the definition (7) and a simple trigonometric identity we obtain

$$\sin^2 \frac{\beta}{2} = \frac{s-c}{2a}. \quad (11)$$

Finally, we have α and β in terms of s, c , and a in Eqs. (10) and (11)

Delta Velocity Equation

The relation between the transfer orbit's semimajor axis and the fuel usage can be found from the total delta-velocity vector, utilizing Lambert's theorem¹⁰. In this section, the total delta-velocity vector shall be written as a function of the variable x , to perform fuel optimization in the sequel.

Let \mathbf{v}_1 be the transfer orbit velocity at P_1 and \mathbf{v}_i be the initial orbit velocity at P_1 (Fig. 1). Then the delta-velocity is defined as

$$\Delta \mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_i. \quad (12)$$

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \frac{1}{\eta} \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{a_m}} \left\{ \left[2\lambda \frac{a_m}{r_1} - (\lambda + x\eta) \right] \mathbf{i}_{r_1} + \left[\sqrt{\frac{r_2}{r_1}} \sin \frac{\Delta f}{2} \right] \mathbf{i}_n \times \mathbf{i}_{r_1} \right\}, \quad (13)$$

where \mathbf{i}_{r_1} is a unit vector defining the direction of P_1 from the force center and \mathbf{i}_n is a unit vector normal to the orbital plane. Define η by

$$\eta^2 = (1 - \lambda^2) + 4\lambda \sin^2 \frac{\psi}{2} \quad (14)$$

for an elliptic orbit. Moreover, ψ is given as

$$\psi = \frac{\alpha - \beta}{2} \quad (15)$$

where α and β are given in (10) and (11). Also, because we assumed two elliptic coplanar orbits, the initial orbit velocity is given by

$$\mathbf{v}_i = \sqrt{\mu \left(\frac{2}{r_1} - \frac{1}{a_1} \right)} \mathbf{i}_n \times \mathbf{i}_{r_1}. \quad (16)$$

Similarly, let \mathbf{v}_2 be the transfer orbit velocity at P_2 and \mathbf{v}_f be the target orbit velocity at P_2 :

$$\Delta \mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{v}_f - \mathbf{v}_2, \quad (17)$$

$$\mathbf{v}_2 = \frac{1}{\eta} \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{a_m}} \left\{ \left[2\lambda \frac{a_m}{r_2} - (\lambda + x\eta) \right] \mathbf{i}_{r_2} + \left[\sqrt{\frac{r_1}{r_2}} \sin \frac{\Delta f}{2} \right] \mathbf{i}_n \times \mathbf{i}_{r_2} \right\}, \quad \text{and} \quad (18)$$

$$\mathbf{v}_f = \sqrt{\mu \left(\frac{2}{r_2} - \frac{1}{a_2} \right)} \mathbf{i}_n \times \mathbf{i}_{r_2}. \quad (19)$$

Then the total $\Delta \mathbf{v}_{total}$ is given as the sum of Eqs. (12) and (17) as

$$\Delta \mathbf{v}_{total} = \Delta \mathbf{v}_1 + \Delta \mathbf{v}_2 \quad (20)$$

where

$$(\Delta v_1)^2 = \left\{ \frac{1}{\eta} \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{a_m}} \left[2\lambda \frac{a_m}{r_1} - (\lambda + x\eta) \right] \right\}^2 + \left[\frac{1}{\eta} \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{a_m}} \sqrt{\frac{r_2}{r_1}} \sin \frac{\Delta f}{2} - \sqrt{\mu \left(\frac{2}{r_1} - \frac{1}{a_1} \right)} \right]^2 \quad \text{and} \quad (21)$$

$$(\Delta v_2)^2 = \left\{ -\frac{1}{\eta} \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{a_m}} \left[2\lambda \frac{a_m}{r_2} - (\lambda + x\eta) \right] \right\}^2 + \left[-\frac{1}{\eta} \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{a_m}} \sqrt{\frac{r_1}{r_2}} \sin \frac{\Delta f}{2} + \sqrt{\mu \left(\frac{2}{r_2} - \frac{1}{a_2} \right)} \right]^2 \quad (22)$$

To minimize the fuel usage of a spacecraft, $\Delta \mathbf{v}_{total}$ must be minimized. Consequently, we minimize Eq. (20) with respect to x and find the minimum-fuel transfer.

Note that non-coplanar orbits may be considered in this manner to find the optimal-fuel and -time elliptic transfer orbit. In the non-coplanar case, Eq. (5) would remain the same, however, \mathbf{i}_n in Eqs. (13) and (18) would change to \mathbf{i}_m , \mathbf{i}_n in Eq. (16) would change to \mathbf{i}_{n1} , and \mathbf{i}_n in Eq. (19) would change to \mathbf{i}_{n2} . Here \mathbf{i}_m is a unit vector normal to the transfer orbital plane, \mathbf{i}_{n1} is a unit vector normal to the initial orbital plane, and \mathbf{i}_{n2} is a unit vector normal to the target orbital plane.

Minimum-Time Transfer Conditions

Derivation of the Transfer Time Equation

The conditions to achieve two-impulse optimal-time transfer are derived. We show that at the transfer time is a decreasing function of x . Thus minimum-time transfer does not have a definite solution, but it has a limiting solution in the sense that it is possible to find the limiting x such that the minimum transfer time approaches this limit. The results are stated in this section and the proofs are given in the appendix.

Taking derivative of Eq. (5) with respect to x , we obtain¹⁰

$$\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{a_m^3}} \frac{d\Delta t}{dx} = \frac{4}{3} \frac{dF[3,1;5/2, (1-x)/2]}{dx} - \frac{4}{3} \lambda^3 \frac{dF[3,1;5/2, (1-y)/2]}{dx} \quad (23)$$

where $F[3,1;5/2; z]$ is the hypergeometric function whose continued fraction expansion is

$$F[3,1;5/2; z] = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\gamma_1 z}{1 - \frac{\gamma_2 z}{1 - \dots}}} \text{ and } \gamma_n = \begin{cases} \frac{(n+2)(n+5)}{(2n+1)(2n+3)} & n \text{ odd} \\ \frac{n(n-3)}{(2n+1)(2n+3)} & n \text{ even} \end{cases}. \quad (24)$$

Furthermore $F[3,1;5/2; z]$ can be rewritten as

$$F[3,1;5/2; z] = \frac{1}{1 - \gamma_1 z G(z)} \text{ where } G(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\gamma_2 z}{1 - \frac{\gamma_3 z}{1 - \dots}}}, \quad (25)$$

and the derivative with respect to z is given as¹⁰

$$\frac{dF[3,1;5/2; z]}{dz} = \frac{3 - 9G(z)/5}{(1-z)(1 - 6zG(z)/5)}. \quad (26)$$

To evaluate the first term on the right hand side of (23), we let $z = (1-x)/2$. Then

$dz = (-1/2)dx$ and

$$\frac{dF[3,1;5/2; z]}{dz} = -2 \frac{dF[3,1;5/2; (1-x)/2]}{dx}. \quad (27)$$

Similarly, for the second term on the right hand side of (23), we let $z = (1-y)/2$ to obtain

in $\frac{dz}{dx} = \frac{-2\lambda^2 x}{4\sqrt{1-\lambda^2(1-x^2)}}$ and

$$\frac{dF[3,1;5/2; z]}{dz} = -\frac{2y}{\lambda^2 x} \frac{dF[3,1;5/2; (1-y)/2]}{dx}. \quad (28)$$

Thus, we can evaluate Eq. (23) using Eqs. (27) and (28).

In the appendix, using Eqs. (23), (27), and (28), we prove that the transfer time equation is a monotonically decreasing function with respect to the variable, x , i.e., $d\Delta t/dx < 0$. Consequently the largest value of x gives the fastest transfer time. For example, to find the fastest elliptic transfer orbit, we let x approach unity without actually reaching

eaching it ($x = 1$ corresponds to a parabolic orbit). Furthermore, this implies that the parabolic transfer orbits are faster than elliptic transfer orbits, and hyperbolic transfer orbits are faster than parabolic transfer orbits. Intuitively this states that if fuel is unlimited, the more delta-velocity one expends the shorter the transfer time.

Necessary and Sufficient Conditions for Minimum-Fuel Transfer

The necessary and sufficient conditions for the minimum-fuel transfer in the case of a two-impulse elliptic transfer orbit are derived in this section. The initial and target orbits are assumed to be coplanar and coaxial elliptic orbits. We find the condition on the variable x (equivalent to the semimajor axis) such that the transfer orbit minimizes the fuel usage. The magnitudes of the delta velocities (Δv_1 , and Δv_2) are given by the following Eqs. (21) and (22). By definition, η is positive¹⁰ and it can be rewritten as

$$\eta = y - \lambda x = \sqrt{1 - \lambda^2(1 - x^2)} - \lambda x \quad (29)$$

Given Δf , the necessary and sufficient conditions for a minimum-fuel transfer orbit are

given by $\frac{d\Delta v_{total}}{dx} = 0$ and $\frac{d^2\Delta v_{total}}{dx^2} > 0$, where $\frac{d\Delta v_{total}}{dx} = \frac{d\Delta v_1}{dx} + \frac{d\Delta v_2}{dx}$.

Necessary Condition

Thus, to find the necessary and sufficient conditions, we take the first derivative of the delta-velocities given in Eqs. (21) and (22) with respect to x . Also, we find the first derivative of Eq. (29) with respect to x . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Delta v_1}{dx} = & \frac{1}{\Delta v_1} \frac{\mu}{a_m} \left[\frac{1}{\eta} \left(2\lambda \frac{a_m}{r_1} - (\lambda + x\eta) \right) \right] \left(-\frac{1}{\eta^2} 2\lambda \frac{a_m}{r_1} \frac{d\eta}{dx} + \frac{1}{\eta^2} \lambda \frac{d\eta}{dx} - 1 \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{\Delta v_1} \left(\frac{1}{\eta} \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{a_m}} \sqrt{\frac{r_2}{r_1}} \sin \frac{\Delta f}{2} - \sqrt{\mu \left(\frac{2}{r_1} - \frac{1}{a_1} \right)} \right) \left(-\frac{1}{\eta^2} \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{a_m}} \sqrt{\frac{r_2}{r_1}} \sin \frac{\Delta f}{2} \frac{d\eta}{dx} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Delta v_2}{dx} = & \frac{1}{\Delta v_2} \frac{\mu}{a_m} \left[-\frac{1}{\eta} \left(2\lambda \frac{a_m}{r_2} - (\lambda + x\eta) \right) \right] \left(\frac{1}{\eta^2} 2\lambda \frac{a_m}{r_2} \frac{d\eta}{dx} - \frac{1}{\eta^2} \lambda \frac{d\eta}{dx} + 1 \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{\Delta v_2} \left(-\frac{1}{\eta} \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{a_m}} \sqrt{\frac{r_1}{r_2}} \sin \frac{\Delta f}{2} + \sqrt{\mu \left(\frac{2}{r_2} - \frac{1}{a_2} \right)} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\eta^2} \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{a_m}} \sqrt{\frac{r_1}{r_2}} \sin \frac{\Delta f}{2} \frac{d\eta}{dx} \right), \end{aligned} \quad \text{and (31)}$$

$$\frac{d\eta}{dx} = \lambda^2 \frac{x}{y} - \lambda. \quad (32)$$

The first derivative of the total delta velocity,

$$\frac{d\Delta v_{total}}{dx} = \frac{d\Delta v_1}{dx} + \frac{d\Delta v_{21}}{dx}, \quad (33)$$

can be trivially obtained from Eqs. (30) and (31). Thus the necessary condition for a min

imum requires $\frac{d\Delta v_{total}}{dx} = 0$.

Sufficient Condition

For the sufficient condition, we find the second derivative of Eq. (32) with respect to x .

$$\frac{d^2\eta}{dx^2} = \lambda^2 \frac{y-x}{y^2} \frac{dy}{dx} \quad (34)$$

Then we take the second derivative of the total delta velocity with respect to x . After an other long manipulation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^2 \Delta v_{total}}{dx^2} &= \frac{1}{\Delta v_1} \frac{\mu}{a_m} \left[12 \frac{\lambda^2 a_m^2}{\eta^4 r_1^2} \frac{d\eta}{dx} - 4 \frac{\lambda^2 a_m^2}{\eta^3 r_1^2} \frac{d^2 \eta}{dx^2} - 12 \frac{\lambda^2 a_m}{\eta^4 r_1} \frac{d\eta}{dx} + 4 \frac{\lambda^2 a_m}{\eta^3 r_1} \frac{d^2 \eta}{dx^2} \right] \\
&+ \frac{1}{\Delta v_1} \frac{\mu}{a_m} \left[-\frac{4\lambda x a_m}{\eta^3 r_1} \frac{d\eta}{dx} + \frac{2\lambda a_m}{\eta^2 r_1} \frac{d\eta}{dx} + \frac{2\lambda x a_m}{\eta^2 r_1} \frac{d^2 \eta}{dx^2} + \frac{3\lambda^2}{\eta^4} \frac{d\eta}{dx} \right] \\
&+ \frac{1}{\Delta v_1} \frac{\mu}{a_m} \left[-\frac{\lambda^2}{\eta^3} \frac{d^2 \eta}{dx^2} + \frac{2\lambda x}{\eta^3} \frac{d\eta}{dx} - \frac{\lambda}{\eta^2} \frac{d\eta}{dx} - \frac{\lambda x}{\eta^2} \frac{d^2 \eta}{dx^2} + \frac{2\lambda a_m}{\eta^2 r_1} - \frac{\lambda}{\eta^2} + 1 \right] \\
&+ \frac{1}{\Delta v_1} \left(\frac{3}{\eta^4} \frac{d\eta}{dx} - \frac{1}{\eta^3} \frac{d^2 \eta}{dx^2} \right) \left(\frac{\mu}{a_m} \frac{r_2}{r_1} \left(\sin^2 \frac{\Delta f}{2} \right) \right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{\Delta v_1} \left(-\frac{2}{\eta^3} \frac{d\eta}{dx} + \frac{1}{\eta^2} \frac{d^2 \eta}{dx^2} \right) \left(\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{a_m} \frac{r_2}{r_1} \left(\sin \frac{\Delta f}{2} \right)} \sqrt{\mu \left(\frac{2}{r_1} - \frac{1}{a_1} \right)} \right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{\Delta v_2} \frac{\mu}{a_m} \left[12 \frac{\lambda^2 a_m^2}{\eta^4 r_2^2} \frac{d\eta}{dx} - 4 \frac{\lambda^2 a_m^2}{\eta^3 r_2^2} \frac{d^2 \eta}{dx^2} - 12 \frac{\lambda^2 a_m}{\eta^4 r_2} \frac{d\eta}{dx} + 4 \frac{\lambda^2 a_m}{\eta^3 r_2} \frac{d^2 \eta}{dx^2} \right] \\
&+ \frac{1}{\Delta v_2} \frac{\mu}{a_m} \left[-\frac{4\lambda x a_m}{\eta^3 r_2} \frac{d\eta}{dx} + \frac{2\lambda a_m}{\eta^2 r_2} \frac{d\eta}{dx} + \frac{2\lambda x a_m}{\eta^2 r_2} \frac{d^2 \eta}{dx^2} + \frac{3\lambda^2}{\eta^4} \frac{d\eta}{dx} \right] \\
&+ \frac{1}{\Delta v_2} \frac{\mu}{a_m} \left[-\frac{\lambda^2}{\eta^3} \frac{d^2 \eta}{dx^2} + \frac{2\lambda x}{\eta^3} \frac{d\eta}{dx} - \frac{\lambda}{\eta^2} \frac{d\eta}{dx} - \frac{\lambda x}{\eta^2} \frac{d^2 \eta}{dx^2} + \frac{2\lambda a_m}{\eta^2 r_2} - \frac{\lambda}{\eta^2} + 1 \right] \\
&+ \frac{1}{\Delta v_2} \left(\frac{3}{\eta^4} \frac{d\eta}{dx} - \frac{1}{\eta^3} \frac{d^2 \eta}{dx^2} \right) \left(\frac{\mu}{a_m} \frac{r_1}{r_2} \left(\sin^2 \frac{\Delta f}{2} \right) \right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{\Delta v_2} \left(-\frac{2}{\eta^3} \frac{d\eta}{dx} + \frac{1}{\eta^2} \frac{d^2 \eta}{dx^2} \right) \left(\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{a_m} \frac{r_1}{r_2} \left(\sin \frac{\Delta f}{2} \right)} \sqrt{\mu \left(\frac{2}{r_2} - \frac{1}{a_2} \right)} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

This second derivative must be greater than zero for Δv_{total} to be minimum. Thus we have the sufficient condition for a local minimum;

$$\frac{d^2 \Delta v_{total}}{dx^2} > 0. \tag{36}$$

Equating (33) to zero gives the necessary condition and (36) gives the sufficient condition to achieve minimum-fuel transfer for any two points in space (i.e., Δf can be anywh

ere between zero and 360 degrees).

Coplanar Hohmann Transfer From Lambert's Theorem

Necessary and Sufficient Conditions for a Minimum

To verify the results of the previous section, we assume elliptic coplanar coaxial orbits and derive the necessary and sufficient conditions for the Hohmann transfer case. By Hohmann transfer, we mean that tangential impulses are applied at opposing apsides. Consequently, the initial and target points must be on the line of apsides for Hohmann transfer.

We show that for the Hohmann transfer x is equal to zero. In the Hohmann transfer, we have $\Delta f = \pi$ and $c = r_1 + r_2$. Thus from Eqs. (1) and (2), we get $\lambda = 0$. Moreover, because $\lambda = 0$, from Eq. (14) we obtain $\eta = 1$ (η is positive by definition). Substituting these values into Eq. (33) gives the following result:

$$\frac{d\Delta v_{total}}{dx} = \frac{\mu}{a_m} x \left(\frac{1}{\Delta v_1} + \frac{1}{\Delta v_2} \right). \quad (37)$$

Thus $d\Delta v_{total} / dx$ is equal to zero when x is equal to zero. Consequently, for the case of a Hohmann transfer ($\Delta f = \pi$), we obtain the optimal fuel transfer when x is equal to zero. This shows that condition (37) is a special case of the general condition (33).

To find the sufficient condition, we evaluate Eq. (35) for the Hohmann transfer. Then we obtain,

$$\frac{d^2\Delta v_{total}}{dx^2} = \frac{\mu}{a_m} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta v_1} + \frac{1}{\Delta v_2} \right) > 0. \quad (38)$$

Thus, this gives the sufficient condition for a minimum. Moreover, this shows that for the elliptic-to-elliptic, coplanar, and coaxial orbits, if $\Delta f = \pi$ then we have minimum-fuel transfer when $x = 0$.

Note that $x = 0$ corresponds to the minimum energy transfer¹⁰, and for Hohmann transfer the minimum energy transfer corresponds to the minimum-fuel transfer, but as will be seen in the simulation section, when $\Delta f \neq \pi$ this is not the case.

Derivation of Transfer Time and Delta Velocity Equations

We now show that the well-known Hohmann Transfer results are obtained from the Lagrange form of the transfer time and the velocity equations. For the Hohmann transfer

$c = s = r_1 + r_2$ and $a = \frac{r_1 + r_2}{2}$. Thus from Eqs. (10) and (11), we find that $\alpha = \pi$ and

$\beta = 0$. Thus using the fact that $\lambda = 0$ and $a_m = \frac{r_1 + r_2}{2}$ in Eq. (5), we obtain

$$\Delta t = \pi \sqrt{\frac{(r_1 + r_2)^3}{8\mu}}.$$

In summary, from the Lagrange form of the transfer time Eq. (5), we obtain the Hohmann transfer time equation,

$$\Delta t = \pi \sqrt{\frac{(r_1 + r_2)^3}{8\mu}} \quad (39)$$

when Δf is equal to 180 degrees. We note that this transfer time equation is valid for elliptic-to-elliptic coaxial orbits as well as the circle-to-circle orbits.

Now, we derive $\Delta \mathbf{v}_{total}$ for the Hohmann transfer using Eq. (20) to verify that we recover the Hohmann transfer $\Delta \mathbf{v}_{total}$. Once again, for the Hohmann transfer, we have

$c = s = r_1 + r_2$, $\alpha = \pi$, $\beta = 0$, $\lambda = 0$, and $a_m = \frac{r_1 + r_2}{2} = a$. The last equation implies

$x = 0$. Because $\eta^2 = (1 - \lambda^2) + 4\lambda \sin^2 \frac{\psi}{2}$ and $\psi = \frac{\alpha - \beta}{2}$ for an elliptic orbit, we obtain

$\eta = 1$ and $\psi = \frac{\pi}{2}$. Substituting these values into Eq. (13), we obtain

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{a}} \left\{ \left[\sqrt{\frac{r_2}{r_1}} \sin \frac{\pi}{2} \right] \mathbf{i}_n \times \mathbf{i}_{r_1} \right\}. \quad (40)$$

Using Eq. (12) we get

$$\Delta \mathbf{v}_1 = \left[\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{a}} \sqrt{\frac{r_2}{r_1}} - \sqrt{\mu \left(\frac{2}{r_1} - \frac{1}{a_1} \right)} \right] \mathbf{i}_n \times \mathbf{i}_{r_1}. \quad (41)$$

By similar analysis, we obtain

$$\Delta \mathbf{v}_2 = \left[\sqrt{\mu \left(\frac{2}{r_2} - \frac{1}{a_2} \right)} - \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{a}} \sqrt{\frac{r_1}{r_2}} \right] \mathbf{i}_n \times \mathbf{i}_{r_2}. \quad (42)$$

Using the relations $r_1 = a(1 - e_1)$ and $r_2 = a(1 + e_2)$, we obtain

$$\Delta \mathbf{v}_1 = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{r_1}} \left[\sqrt{\frac{2(r_2/r_1)}{1+(r_2/r_1)}} - \sqrt{1+e_1} \right] \mathbf{i}_n \times \mathbf{i}_{r_1}. \quad (43)$$

and

$$\Delta \mathbf{v}_2 = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{r_2}} \left[\sqrt{1+e_2} - \sqrt{\frac{2}{1+(r_2/r_1)}} \right] \mathbf{i}_n \times \mathbf{i}_{r_2}. \quad (44)$$

The magnitude of the sum of the above two equations gives the desired result.

As for the special case of circle-to-circle transfer, we have $a_1 = r_1$ and $a_2 = r_2$, we get

$$\Delta \mathbf{v}_1 = \left[\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{a}} \sqrt{\frac{r_2}{r_1}} - \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{r_1}} \right] \mathbf{i}_n \times \mathbf{i}_{r_1} = \sqrt{\mu} \left[\sqrt{\frac{2}{r_1} - \frac{1}{a}} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{r_1}} \right] \mathbf{i}_n \times \mathbf{i}_{r_1}. \quad (45)$$

By similar analysis, we also obtain

$$\Delta \mathbf{v}_2 = \left[\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{r_2}} - \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{a}} \sqrt{\frac{r_1}{r_2}} \right] \mathbf{i}_n \times \mathbf{i}_{r_2} = \sqrt{\mu} \left[\sqrt{\frac{1}{r_2}} - \sqrt{\frac{2}{r_2} - \frac{1}{a}} \right] \mathbf{i}_n \times \mathbf{i}_{r_2}. \quad (46)$$

From the $\Delta \mathbf{v}_{total}$ Eq. (20), we recover the well-known Hohmann total delta-velocity,

$\Delta \mathbf{v}_{total}$,

$$\Delta \mathbf{v}_{total} = \sqrt{\mu} \left[\left| \left(\frac{2}{r_1} - \frac{1}{a} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{1}{r_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right| + \left| \left(\frac{2}{r_2} - \frac{1}{a} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{1}{r_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right| \right] \quad (47)$$

when Δf is equal to 180 degrees.

Note that the Hohmann transfer is a special case for Δf equal to 180 degrees. Thus for $\Delta f \neq 180$ degrees, the Hohmann transfer cannot be used, however, we can still obtain the minimum-fuel or minimum-time transfer orbit using the method described in the previous section.

Optimal Coplanar Orbit Maneuver Algorithm

Here, a method to perform the optimal-fuel and -time maneuver using Lambert's theorem is presented. The problem is to find the transfer orbit's semimajor axis such that the transfer time and the fuel used is minimum. From the results of the previous section, we note that the Hohmann transfer is the optimal solution when $\Delta f = \pi$. However, if we wish to put the spacecraft at a particular point in the target orbit where $\Delta f \neq \pi$, then the Hohmann transfer cannot be performed and the minimum-fuel transfer is obtained from Eq. (33). It is important to note that Eq. (33) is valid even if the initial and target points are not on the line of apsides. This type of transfer might be necessary in the case of interception and rendezvous.

Now, we use the results of the previous sections to obtain the optimal-fuel or -time transfer orbit. The optimal algorithm is given as follows:

1. Given initial orbit radius, r_1 , target orbit radius, r_2 , and the difference in the true anomaly (transfer angle), Δf , we vary x to find the transfer time, Δt , and total delta velocity

city, $\Delta \mathbf{v}_{total}$.

2. Find the cord length, c , from the equation,

$$c^2 = r_1^2 + r_2^2 - 2r_1r_2 \cos \Delta f . \quad (48)$$

3. Find the semiperimeter of the space triangle, s , from Eq. (1).

4. Find a_m from Eq. (4).

5. Find a constant λ from Eq. (2).

Note that the cord length, c , can also be expressed in terms of this variable as

$$c = (r_1 + r_2) \frac{1 - \lambda^2}{1 + \lambda^2} . \quad (49)$$

Thus λ is related to the cord length.

6. Find α from Eq. (6).

7. Find β from Eq. (7).

8. Find the transfer time from the Lagrange form of the transfer time Eq. (5).

9. Find the total delta velocity from Eqs. (20), (21), and (22).

Eq. (5) can be used to find the transfer orbit's semimajor axis such that the transfer time is minimized. We can plot Δt (which is related to the transfer orbit semi-major axis) versus x , and find x such that Δt is minimum. Actually it is known from the previous section that Δt is a decreasing function of x . Thus for example, for an elliptic transfer orbit the smallest Δt is achieved by letting x approach unity without actually reaching it ($x = 1$ corresponds to a parabolic orbit). Thus, just to perform the elliptic minimum-time orbit transfer we let x be very close to unity (for elliptic transfer orbit $x < 1$).

There is another factor to take into consideration when we perform an optimal maneuver. We would like to minimize fuel usage while transferring to the target orbit. We can

plot Δv_{total} (which is related to the transfer orbit semi-major axis) versus x using Eq. (20), and find x such that Δv_{total} is minimum to find the optimal-fuel transfer orbit. Thus there is a tradeoff between the time the spacecraft takes to get to the target orbit and the fuel necessary to get to the target orbit.

Numerical Simulation Results

The numerical simulation is performed in this section using the optimal maneuver algorithm developed in the previous section. Here we let $r_1 = 6700$ km, $r_2 = 6710$ km, $a_1 = 6800$ km, and $a_2 = 6900$ km. The first example is when $\Delta f = \pi$ (Hohmann transfer) and the second example is when $\Delta f = \pi/10$.

Case 1 ($\Delta f = \pi$: Hohmann Transfer)

We plot $|\Delta v_{total}|$ versus x for a Hohmann transfer in Fig. 2. Note that minimum $|\Delta v_{total}|$, which has the value 0.1619 km/s, corresponds to $x = 0$, which represents the Hohmann transfer. Using Eqs. (33) and (35), we have verified that $d\Delta v_{total}/dx = 0$ and $d^2\Delta v_{total}/dx^2 > 0$ when $x = 0$. Thus $x = 0$ corresponds to the minimum-fuel solution.

Fig. 3 shows transfer time versus x . Note that it is a monotonically decreasing function. When x is equal to zero, the transfer time is 2732.0 seconds. It is possible to obtain a transfer orbit that will give a smaller transfer time, but the fuel used will increase. Thus there is tradeoff between the fuel used and minimum-time. Although it is not shown on the plot, the parabolic transfer orbit has smaller transfer time than an elliptic transfer orbit, and the hyperbolic transfer orbit has smaller transfer time than a parabolic transfer orbit.

To see the relationship between the transfer orbit's semimajor axis and x , see Fig. 4.

Note that even though there is only one “ a ” for the given “ x ”, there are two “ x ”s for the given “ a ”. The semimajor axis of the transfer orbit corresponding to x equal to zero is 6721.8 km.

Case 2 ($\Delta f = \pi/10$)

In the case of rendezvous, we may require that $\Delta f \neq \pi$. Thus the question is what is the optimal-fuel or -time transfer orbit when $\Delta f \neq \pi$. Here we assume that the two points are separated by $\Delta f = \pi/10$. Fig. 5 shows $|\Delta v_{total}|$ versus x . Note that the minimum $|\Delta v_{total}|$ is achieved when x is approximately 0.65. Note also that the minimum energy orbit ($x = 0$) does not correspond to the minimum-fuel orbit. Using Eqs. (33) and (35), we have verified that $d\Delta v_{total}/dx = 0$ and $d^2\Delta v_{total}/dx^2 > 0$ when $x \approx 0.649$. Thus $x \approx 0.649$ corresponds to the minimum-fuel solution. See the $d\Delta v_{total}/dx$ versus x graph in Fig. 6. We note that $d\Delta v_{total}/dx$ is negative when $x < 0.649$ and it becomes positive when $x > 0.649$, which implies that $x \approx 0.649$ is a minimum.

Fig. 7 shows the transfer time versus x . Note that it is a monotonically decreasing function as before. Thus for this problem the optimal fuel and time transfer orbit may be when $x = 0.65$, which corresponds to $a = 6.7133 \times 10^3$ km. For $x = 0.65$, $\Delta v_{total} = 0.1703$ km/s and $\Delta t = 273.03$ sec.

To compare these values with the Hohmann transfer case, see Table 1. Note that Δv_{total} is smaller for the Hohmann transfer (Case 1) as expected but the transfer time is much larger.

Table 1 Comparison of Case 1 and Case 2

	x	Δv_{total} (km/s)	Δt (second)	a (km)
Case 1 $\Delta f = \pi$	0.00	0.1619	2732.0	6721.8
Case 2 $\Delta f = \pi / 10$	0.65	0.1703	273.03	6713.3
Difference	0.65	0.0084	-2459.0	-8.5000

Conclusions

The transfer time and delta-velocity equations are stated using Lambert's theorem. Using these equations, minimum-time and minimum-fuel conditions in terms of the semimajor axis of the elliptic transfer orbit are derived. Moreover, it is shown that the minimum-time transfer orbit approaches a limiting value. It is also shown that when the transfer angle is 180 degrees the optimal fuel transfer is given by the well-known Hohmann transfer. An algorithm with the fuel and time tradeoff is presented. This procedure can be used to find the optimal-time and -fuel transfer orbit in the case of a rendezvous.

Appendix: Proof that Transfer Time is a Decreasing Function of x

In this appendix, we prove that the transfer time Eq. (23) is a monotonically decreasing function with respect to x . We show that the first term on the right hand side of Eq. (23) is negative. Then we show that the second term's magnitude is smaller than the first term, thus showing that $d\Delta t / dx < 0$.

Now, we are ready to show that $\frac{dF[3,1;5/2,(1-x)/2]}{dx}$ is less than zero. First we find

$F[3,1;5/2;z]$ using the algorithm given by Battin¹⁰, which is repeated here for the sake of completeness:

Initialize $\delta_1 = u_1 = \Sigma_1 = 1$ and calculate

$$\delta_{n+1} = \frac{1}{1 - \gamma_n z \delta_n}, \quad u_{n+1} = u_n (\delta_{n+1} - 1), \quad \Sigma_{n+1} = \Sigma_n + u_{n+1}, \quad (\text{A1})$$

where γ_n is given in (24). Then for $z < 1$, $F[3,1;5/2;z] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Sigma_n$. Second we find $G(z)$ from Eq. (25). Third we find dF/dz from Eq. (26). Finally we find $\frac{dF[3,1;5/2;(1-x)/2]}{dx}$ using Eq. (27). The result is shown in Fig. 8. Although it is not clearly shown in Fig. 8, all the values are less than zero for x between -1 and $+1$, see Table 2.

Thus this shows that for $-1 < x < 1$,

$$\frac{4}{3} \frac{dF[3,1;5/2;(1-x)/2]}{dx} < 0. \quad (\text{A2})$$

Table 2 Hypergeometric function values

x	$\frac{dF[3,1;5/2;(1-x)/2]}{dx}$
-1	$-\infty$
-0.99	-2.639×10^5
\vdots	\vdots
0.99	-6.069×10^{-1}
1	Undefined

We compare the magnitude of the first and second terms on the right hand side of Eq. (23). For the elliptic transfer orbit, $x \in (-1,1)$ and $\lambda \in [-1,1]$. Using this information, we

plot $\lambda^5 x/y$ versus x in Fig. 9 for several values of λ ranging between $+1$ and -1 . We note that

$$\left| \frac{\lambda^5 x}{y} \right| \leq 1. \quad (\text{A3})$$

Substitute Eq. (8) into $\lambda^5 x/y$, and obtain

$$\frac{\lambda^5 x}{y} = \frac{\lambda^5 x}{\sqrt{1-\lambda^2(1-x^2)}}. \quad (\text{A4})$$

Then we note that $\lambda^5 x/y = 1$ when $\lambda = 1$ and $\lambda^5 x/y = -1$ when $\lambda = -1$ for all x . Using Inequality (A3) we obtain

$$\left| \frac{4}{3} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{dF}{dz} \right| \geq \left| \frac{4}{3} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{\lambda^5 x}{y} \right) \frac{dF}{dz} \right|. \quad (\text{A5})$$

Then we use Eqs. (27) and (28) in the above inequality to obtain

$$\left| \frac{4}{3} \frac{dF[3,1;5/2;(1-x)/2]}{dx} \right| \geq \left| \frac{4}{3} \lambda^3 \frac{dF[3,1;5/2;(1-y)/2]}{dx} \right|. \quad (\text{A6})$$

Because of Inequalities (A2) and (A6) we have the following,

$$\frac{4}{3} \frac{dF[3,1;5/2;(1-x)/2]}{dx} - \frac{4}{3} \lambda^3 \frac{dF[3,1;5/2;(1-y)/2]}{dx} \leq 0. \quad (\text{A7})$$

Finally, because of (23) and (A7) we have the desired result,

$$\frac{d\Delta t}{dx} \leq 0. \quad (\text{A8})$$

Thus, Δt is a decreasing function with respect to x .

Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank Sanguk Lee for introducing Lambert's theorem to the author. The author would also like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comment

s that led to an improved version of the paper.

References

¹Lawden, D.F., *Optimal Trajectories for Space Navigation*, Butterworths & Co., London, 1963, pp. 96-124.

²Prussing, John E., and Chiu, Jeng-Hua, "Optimal Multiple-Impulse Time-Fixed Rendezvous Between Circular Orbits," *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, Vol. 9, No. 1, January-February 1986, pp. 17-22.

³Prussing, John E., "Simple Proof of the Global Optimality of the Hohmann Transfer," *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, Vol. 15, No. 4, July-August 1992, pp. 1037-1038.

⁴Bryson, Arthur E., and Ho, Yu-Chi, *Applied Optimal Control: Optimization, Estimation, and Control*, Hemisphere Publishing Co., New York, 1975, pp. 42-89.

⁵Betts, John T., "Survey of Numerical Methods for Trajectory Optimization," *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, Vol. 21, No. 2, March-April 1998.

⁶Battin, Richard H., and Vaughan, Robin M., "An Elegant Lambert Algorithm," *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, Vol. 7, No. 6, November-December 1984, pp. 662-670.

⁷Palmore, Julian, "An Elementary Proof of the Optimality of Hohmann Transfers," *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, Vol. 7, No. 5, September-October 1984.

⁸Prussing, John E., and Conway, Bruce A., *Orbital Mechanics*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1993, pp. 110.

⁹Wertz, James R., and Larson, Wiley J. (editors), *Space Mission Analysis and Design*, Space Technology Library, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Netherlands, 1991,

pp. 129-131

¹⁰Battin, Richard H., *An Introduction to the Mathematics and Methods of Astrodynamics*, edited by J. S. Przemieniecki, AIAA Education Series, New York, 1987, pp. 289-307.

List of Figure Captions

Fig. 1 Coplanar orbit transfer diagram

Fig. 2 $|\Delta\mathbf{v}_{total}|$ versus x ($\Delta f = \pi$)

Fig. 3 Transfer time versus x ($\Delta f = \pi$)

Fig. 4 Transfer orbit semi-major axis versus x

Fig. 5 $|\Delta\mathbf{v}_{total}|$ versus x ($\Delta f = \pi/10$)

Fig. 6 $d\Delta\mathbf{v}_{total}/dx$ versus x ($\Delta f = \pi/10$)

Fig. 7 Transfer time versus x ($\Delta f = \pi/10$)

Fig. 8 $\frac{dF[3,1;5/2;(1-x)/2]}{dx}$ versus x

Fig. 9 $\lambda^5 x/y$ versus x for various values of λ