Photoreactivity of Si(111)–H in Ambient
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Second harmonic generation rotational anisotropy (SHG-RA) provides a convenient means to monitor the chemical state of the Si surfaces and to follow the conversion of a H-terminated surface to SiO₂ by oxidation as a function of time in ambient. SHG at 800 nm is sensitive to changes in the surface electronic properties induced by oxidation, and it probes both the initial stage of oxidation and the logarithmic oxide growth. We found that intense, ultrashort (4 ps) 800 nm laser pulses accelerate the initial stage of oxidation of Si(111)–H in ambient. The cross-section of the photoinduced process was found to be (1.7 ± 0.5) × 10⁻²³ cm². A mechanism involving drift of photoexcited electrons toward the surface is proposed to explain the photooxidation.

Introduction

The passivation of semiconductor interfaces and the stability of passivated interfaces under reactive conditions are topics of research for physical scientists and technologists alike. ¹,² Remarkably, it has been shown that atomically flat passivated Si(111) surfaces can be generated by simple wet chemical techniques. ³ NH₄F solutions can terminate the Si dangling bonds of passivated interfaces under reactive conditions are topics of research for physical scientists and technologists alike. ¹,²

Photoreactivity of Si(111) immersed in water was reported to be significantly accelerated. ¹⁰ The wet chemical grafting of organic molecules to Si surfaces has attracted attention. ⁴–⁶ The wet chemical grafting of organic molecules to silicon interfaces invariably starts with a H-passivated Si surface. ⁴,⁷ Thus, the controlled removal or replacement of H on the Si surface is a widely sought after goal. ⁸–¹⁰ The removal of hydrogen from Si surfaces has been achieved by a number of different means including STM ¹⁰ and laser irradiation. ¹¹–¹³ There has been considerable theoretical effort to understand these processes. ¹⁴,¹⁵ Thermal and nonthermal, photon driven removal of H has been shown to result in quite different surfaces. ¹⁶ Direct single photon desorption of H from Si surfaces in UHV has been reported with 157 nm (7.9 eV) photons. ¹⁷ Desorption of H from Si surfaces by 308 nm (3.5 eV) photons was proposed to proceed via a thermal process, although the nonlinear fluence dependence was also consistent with a multiphoton mechanism. ¹⁸ However, Chidsey et al. suggested, in light of their results with low intensity near UV photons (<3.5 eV), that a low cross-section single photon mechanism might also contribute to H desorption from Si(111).¹⁷ Thus, the mechanism of photoassisted H desorption with photon energies <7.9 eV is still debated.

The photoreactivity of H–Si(111) has been exploited by Chidsey et al. to functionalize silicon surfaces.¹⁷ Illumination of H–Si(111) with low intensity (mW/cm²) UV light (λ < 350 nm) in air results in oxidized Si. Longer wavelengths reportedly produced no oxidation.¹⁷ The proposed mechanism was based on photocleavage of the Si–H bond, whose bond strength (79–84 kcal/mol, 3.42–3.59 eV, 362–345 nm) has approximately the energy of a 350 nm photon.¹⁸ A detailed radical chain mechanism was proposed involving the creation of a surface radical, a dangling Si bond formed by photocleavage of the Si–H bond.¹⁷ Unsaturated compounds, e.g., O₂ and alkenes, can then react with the Si dangling bond.¹⁷ The resultant adsorbate-based radical then abstracts a neighboring surface hydrogen with the creation of another Si dangling bond, thereby propagating the reaction.¹⁷ However, the mechanism of Si–H bond photocleavage is not clear. Direct photodissociation of the Si–H bond requires ~7.9 eV photons, an energy much greater than the ~3.5 eV photons that result in photoreactivity of the Si(111) surface.¹²,¹³

Given the photoreactivity of H–Si(111), the perturbation induced by optical measurement techniques is of concern. In one recent study of the stability of H–Si(111) in air, by infrared + visible sum frequency generation, it was shown that the intensity of the Si–H stretch vibrational band decreased under irradiation by 532, 1064, and 4794 nm photons.¹⁹ The shorter the photon wavelength employed, the greater the rate of decrease of the SiH vibrational feature was.¹⁹ Under conditions of low power irradiation [8 μJ visible (532 nm; beam area, 0.018 cm²; repetition rate, 10 Hz; and pulse duration, 25 ps) and 30 μJ IR (4794 nm; beam area, 0.0005 cm²; repetition rate, 10 Hz; and pulse duration, 25 ps)], no effect of laser irradiation was detected on the SFG response in the first 60 min.¹⁹ However, a further 90 min of laser irradiation resulted in a reduction of SFG peak height to 15% of the original value.¹⁹ The observed induction time suggested a nucleation and growth mechanism.¹⁹ The low initial ablation rate (3.8 × 10⁻⁶ ML/s at 17 μJ vis-532 nm, 25 μJ IR-4794 nm) is consistent with the laser-induced desorption rate (<10⁻⁶ ML/pulse) reported by Guyot-Sionnest in UHV.²⁰ The ablation rate was observed to depend nonlinearly on laser energy.¹⁹ It was also reported that moisture significantly affected the stability of H–Si(111) under laser illumination; degradation of H–Si(111) immersed in water was reported to be significantly accelerated.¹⁹

Somewhat surprisingly, the SFG signal was observed to decrease as a function of time when the IR intensity was increased.¹⁹ Indeed, the effect of the IR photons appeared to be even greater than that of 1064 nm photons.¹⁹ As the Si substrate does not absorb at 4090 nm, it was not clear whether the photoinduced process was indeed a substrate- or an adsorbate-
mediated process or perhaps even the result of the nonlinear response. While the absorption coefficient of bulk Si is about 3 orders of magnitude less for 1064 nm photons as compared with 532 nm photons, the observed abstraction rate constant differs by only about an order of magnitude (0.27 \(10^{-4}\) s\(^{-1}\) at 1064 nm vs 3.5 \(10^{-4}\) s\(^{-1}\) at 532 nm) when compared on the basis of equal pulse energies.

In this paper, we demonstrate that photooxidation of H—Si(111) can be induced by 800 nm photons and can be investigated by second harmonic generation (SHG). We show that this is not a multiphoton process and that it requires the presence of oxygen. The influence of water vapor is also investigated.

**Experimental Section**

n-type Si(111) crystals (P doped with \(\sim 30\) \(\Omega\) cm resistivity, Motorola Phoenix, \(\sim 300\) \(\mu\)m thick) were used in the experiments. H termination involved the following steps: cleaning in piranha solution [4:1 concentrated \(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4\) (Fisher, Trace Metal Grade):30% \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) (J. T. Baker, CMOS grade)] for 10 min at 100 °C, followed by an SC2 clean [1:1:4 30% \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\); concentrated HCl (J. T. Baker, CMOS grade):\(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\)] for 10 min at 80 °C, and finally a further 10 min in piranha solution at 100 °C. At the end of the sequence, the samples were thoroughly rinsed in deionized water (>18 MΩ cm). For H termination, the samples were immersed in 40% \(\text{NH}_4\text{F}\) solution (Transene, Semiconductor Grade) for 15 min to remove oxide and H terminate the surface Si. After immersion in \(\text{NH}_4\text{F}\), the samples were hydrophobic, as noted by the ease with which water rolled off of the samples. Native oxide samples, which were used as received, were only subjected to a degreasing in trichloroethylene, acetone, and methanol for 10 min each at room temperature prior to experiments.

The ellipsometric thickness was measured on a Gaertner Scientific ellipsometer (model L117) at a 70° angle of incidence and \(\lambda = 632.8\) nm. A typical ellipsometric thickness of native oxide Si(111) samples was 2.0 ± 0.05 and 0.5 ± 0.3 nm for H-terminated Si(111). We used \(n = 3.882\), \(k = 0.019\) for Si and \(n = 1.455\) for \(\text{SiO}_2\) at 632.8 nm when calculating layer thickness. Advancing water contact angles were measured, within 10 s, with a VCA-2000 contact angle goniometer. The typical contact angle of Si(111)/\(\text{SiO}_2\) after degassing was 60 ± 10°. The hydrophobic character of Si(111) = H was confirmed by the high contact angle (99 ± 6°).

Second harmonic generation rotational anisotropy (SHG-RA) measurements were carried out to control the quality of the crystal cut and the effect of wet chemical treatment. In these measurements, the 0° azimuthal angle was set equal to the [211] direction. SHG-RA measurements were measured with 4 ps pulses from a Ti:sapphire regenerative amplifier operating at 1 kHz. The p-polarized 800 nm beam was incident on the sample at 45° with respect to the surface normal. The experimental conditions were chosen such that the illuminated spot was at least 2 mm away from the axis of rotation. Thus, each data point in the SHG-RA experiment received less than 20 s of illumination in the SHG-RA measurement. The fluence was typically 7 mJ/cm\(^2\) unless otherwise noted, generating an initial excited carrier density of 2 \(\times 10^{19}\) cm\(^{-3}\) near the surface. The beam was optically filtered (Kopp Glass Inc. #2—63) to remove second harmonic photons (400 nm) and lightly focused to a beam diameter, determined using a knife edge technique, of 1.1 ± 0.1 mm. The beam area was corrected for the elliptical shape obtained at nonnormal incidence. The reflected beam was sent to a monochromator (Acton Research, 300i, 2400 gr/mm grating) after short pass filtering (Kopp Glass Inc. #4—94) to block 800 nm photons. A liquid nitrogen-cooled CCD camera

**Results and Discussion**

The H-terminated and oxide-covered Si(111) surfaces can be distinguished via their distinct SHG-RA shown in Figure 1. In addition to displaying a quite distinct pattern, the overall magnitude of the SHG-RA of H—Si(111) is much smaller than that for oxide-covered Si(111) surfaces. The SHG-RA pattern can be interpreted by the following phenomenological formula

\[
I_{pp}(2\omega) \propto |A_{pp} + B_{pp} \cos(3\phi)|^2
\]

where \(A_{pp}\) and \(B_{pp}\) are the isotropic and anisotropic contributions to the nonlinear susceptibility, respectively. \(A_{pp}\) and \(B_{pp}\) parameters are complex numbers, whose relative phase \(\Delta_{AB}\) can be defined via

\[
\frac{A_{pp}}{B_{pp}} = \frac{|A_{pp}|}{|B_{pp}|} \exp(i\Delta_{AB})
\]

The pattern is, in part, the result of an interference between the complex \(A_{pp}\) and \(B_{pp}\) terms. The reversal in the sequence of large peaks and small peaks in the SHG-RA patterns for H—Si(111) and \(\text{SiO}_2/\text{Si}(111)\) is primarily a consequence of the different relative phase between \(A_{pp}\) and \(B_{pp}\) for the two systems: 12° for Si(111) = \(\text{SiO}_2\) and 162° for Si(111) = H.

By monitoring the SHG signal at an azimuth of 0°, one can follow the photooxidation of the surface. This azimuthal orientation provides the greatest contrast between the H-terminated and the oxide-covered Si(111) surfaces, as can be seen by inspection of Figure 1. Under ambient conditions (RH = 40 ± 5%), continuous illumination by 800 nm photons from the 4 ps laser results in a slight decrease of the SHG signal at the 0° azimuth in the first 50 min (Figure 2, inset). At the same time, a significant decrease of the SHG signal by a factor of 3 is observed at the 60° azimuth. The behavior at the 0° and 60° azimuth is consistent with oxidation of the surface. Under our illumination conditions, a flux of 8.4 \(\times 10^{19}\) photon s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\) of 800 nm photons, there is no sign of the induction time reported by Ye et al. for fluxes of 1.2 \(\times 10^{16}\) photon s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\) of 532 nm photons and 1.4 \(\times 10^{19}\) photon s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\) of 4794 nm photons. The initial slight decrease is followed by an increase of the SHG signal with time (Figure 2, curve a). The SHG signal begins to level off after 7 h. We attribute the changes in the SHG signal to photooxidation. This is supported by the SHG-RA pattern, recorded after illumination by 800 nm
photon energy in the present study is not sufficient to excite the Si–H bond by direct optical excitation. However, the photoreaction.

Equivalently, only one in every 10^8 incident photons causes a photoreaction.

Zhu et al. showed that 157 nm irradiation leads to dissociation of the Si–H bond by direct optical excitation. However, the photon energy in the present study is not sufficient to excite the Si–H bond. Rather, a substrate-mediated mechanism should be considered for the observed oxidation process. The effect of oxygen and water vapor on the surface transformation process is highlighted by the lack of any apparent change in signal after 1 h of illumination in a dry nitrogen-purged environment (RH < 1%) (Figure 3). Careful analysis in fact shows that some oxidation does occur (<10^-6 ML/s) for an irradiance of 6 x 10^19 photons s^-1 cm^-2 in a nitrogen environment. This suggests that experiments on H-terminated Si(111) surfaces requiring less than 5% oxidation can be carried out in 1 h under our irradiation conditions in dry nitrogen.

The effect of substrate heating on the SHG response was shown to be negligible as illustrated by the SHG recorded in ambient from oxide-covered Si(111) surfaces over a period of 1 h (Figure 3, C). A slight decrease (<3%) in signal was observed and attributed to laser drift. The calculated temperature rise is 5 K for single pulse heating. It was shown that for high repetition rate lasers, heat can be accumulated at the surface due to insufficient heat diffusion. However, we estimated that for our 1 kHz laser repetition rate, this is not the case. The small temperature change (5 K) indicates that laser-induced thermal desorption of H is not responsible for the SH signal change. Temperatures in excess of 700 K are required for significant desorption from Si(111)–H.
The dependence of the initial oxidation rate on photon flux. The rate of ambient oxidation (filled circle) was estimated from the photon flux of room light and the initial rate of oxidation in previous studies. The rate of oxidation when a single spot on the sample was continuously irradiated by a flux of $8 \times 10^{19}$, $3 \times 10^{19}$, and $8 \times 10^{18}$ photons/cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ is marked by open squares. The arrows indicate that the corresponding values are upper limits. The four data points with the lowest fluxes were fit (solid line) using equation $y = b \times x^a$, where the exponent $a = 0.5 \pm 0.2$.

To gain further insight into the possible mechanism of photooxidation, the dependence of the initial oxidation rate on the laser flux was investigated (Figure 4). The initial rate of oxidation appears to saturate at $\sim 10^{-4}$ ML/s in the range explored. Photon fluxes greater than $10^{19}$ photon cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ do not appear to accelerate the oxidation further. This might be because at high flux the supply of photons is no longer rate limiting. Rather, the abundance of other reactants, such as O$_2$ or H$_2$O, limits the reaction rate. We found that as the flux is lowered below $10^{18}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, the rate of oxidation does depend on the photon flux (Figure 4). However, the oxidation rate at low photon flux is representative of oxidation when random spots were irradiated and probed by SHG-RA (photon fluxes of $\sim 10^{16}$ and $7 \times 10^{17}$ photons s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$). As it is unlikely that the exact same spot was irradiated and probed in the experiments that sampled the surface every few days, the flux shown here overestimates the number of photons received at any given spot. Therefore, the photoinduced oxidation for the points at the lowest flux is not readily comparable to the oxidation rate observed at high flux where a single spot was irradiated and probed (Figure 4, open squares). It should be noted that the samples were kept in a room with the lights on most of the time. The flux ambient photon was estimated to be $6 \times 10^{14}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, which is about 4% of the irradiation that the samples received from the laser. (We assumed 100 W visible light source and calculated the flux 2 m away from the source. One joule corresponds to $\sim 3 \times 10^{18}$ photons at 500 nm. The surface area of a 2 m radius sphere is $4\pi r^2 = 5 \times 10^8$ cm$^2$. Thus, the flux is $6 \times 10^{14}$ photon cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$.)

Ye et al. reported a nonlinear dependence of the rate constant of H abstraction with respect to input intensity at 532 and 1064 nm. They suggested that abstraction of hydrogen is responsible for the observed SFG loss at 2083 cm$^{-1}$. The hydrogen abstraction was modeled with a first-order reaction, and the rate constant was determined with varying input power level at 532 and 1064 nm. However, previous investigations suggest that the first step of the oxidation of the H$\equiv$Si(100) surface is the insertion of oxygen into the Si$\equiv$Si back-bonds. This step does not remove hydrogen but would probably result in a significant perturbation of the SiH vibration monitored in the SFG experiment. In fact, FTIR studies of NH$_2$F treated Si(111) showed that as the Si$\equiv$H peak at 2083 cm$^{-1}$ disappears during exposure to air, a broad feature at 2260 cm$^{-1}$ assigned to the O$_2$Si$\equiv$H species (Si bonded to three oxygens in its back-bonds) appears simultaneously. Ye et al. scanned the infrared light from 2060 to 2110 cm$^{-1}$, excluding the spectral region where the oxygen bonded Si$\equiv$H stretches appear. This might explain their interpretation of SiH loss as hydrogen abstraction. They suggested that a photoelectrochemical reaction is responsible for the desorption of the Si$\equiv$H surface through photogenerated e$^-\cdot$h pairs, concluding that water must also play a role.

There are significant differences between the results reported here and those of Ye et al. For example, Ye et al. observed a 60 min induction period, during which the intensity of the SFG peak did not change (relative humidity 40% and temperature 22 °C). In contrast, the intensity of the Si$\equiv$H stretch decreased to 70% of its initial value in the first hour during the oxidation of Si(111)$\equiv$H as shown by FTIR (relative humidity 40%). We did not observe an induction period. The phase $\Delta_{phb}$, the isotropic, $\Lambda_{pp}$, and the anisotropic, $B_{pp}$, parameters start to decrease slowly immediately after H termination, which indicates that the oxidation starts immediately upon illumination.

In a recent paper, Cai et al. suggested a hole-mediated mechanism to be responsible for the photooxidation of Si$\equiv$I terminal alkynes. Their mechanism is similar to that suggested by Ekwelundu et al. to be responsible for the desorption of CO, CO$_2$, SO, and NO from Si(100). The proposed mechanism involves the absorption of the 514 nm photons and the creation of e$^-\cdot$h pairs in the Si bulk. The electric field present at the interface separates the charges. The n-type Si$\equiv$I surface exhibits upward band bending; therefore, the photoexcited holes drift to the surface. The surface Si atoms become positively charged and therefore susceptible to nucleophilic attack by reactive solution phase molecules. In contrast, the p-type samples exhibit downward band bending; therefore, the photoexcited holes drift away from the surface. The proposed mechanism was consistent with the greater reactivity of n-type opposed to p-type Si.

Harper et al. observed reversible quenching of the visible photoluminescence of n-type porous Si and simultaneous slow photooxidation of the surface, when the sample was exposed to gaseous molecular oxygen. Quenching was attributed to electron transfer from the luminescent chromophore in porous Si to an O$_2$ molecule physisorbed or weakly chemisorbed to a surface defect. The proposed mechanism of photochemical oxidation involved the same photogenerated O$_2^-$ species produced during quenching. Thus, the reversible quenching and photooxidation were linked together. Furthermore, the mechanisms of photooxidation proposed by Harper et al. and in this paper are similar, but the source of electrons must be different since no luminescent chromophore is present at the flat Si(111) surface. Thus, the electric field present at the Si(111)$\equiv$H interface must be the driving force for the drift of electrons toward the interface.

Stewart et al. reported light-promoted (white light, $\lambda > 400$ nm, 20 mW/cm$^2$) hydroxylation reaction using photoluminescent nanocrystalline silicon. They found that the reaction is unique to photoluminescent silicon and does not function on nonemissive material, i.e., flat silicon. Thus, a reaction mechanism involving a reactive center generated from a surface-localized exciton was proposed. However, our data suggest that reactivity of the silicon surface induced by $\lambda > 400$ nm photons is not unique to porous silicon. Stewart et al. did not observe a photoassisted reaction on flat Si surfaces because the photon flux was 3 orders of magnitude smaller than in our studies (20 mW/cm$^2$ vs 2 W/cm$^2$). Photons (800 nm) can...
accelerate oxidation of flat Si(111)−H surface when the photons are supplied in a high enough density.

The photon energy (1.55 eV) used in this study is enough to create electron–hole pairs through the indirect transition of Si (1.1 eV). Assuming a mechanism similar to that proposed by Cai et al., the electron must be the reactive species in the oxidation reaction of Si(111)−H, not the holes as in the proposed mechanism for the reaction of Si(111)−I surface with olefins.40 This is the consequence of the band bending. For n-type Si(111)−H, an accumulation layer was measured.44−46 Thus, the drift of electrons is expected toward the surface (Figure 5). For p-type Si(111)−H, an inversion layer was measured.35,46 Thus, electrons are expected to drift toward the surface as well (Figure 5). Therefore, an enhancement of the oxidation rate is expected for illuminated p-type Si(111)−H, if the above proposed mechanism is correct.

Let us consider what happens under our experimental conditions. The 1.8 mJ/cm² pulse creates as many as 6 × 10¹⁸ cm⁻³ e−•h pairs. The penetration depth of 800 nm light at Si surface (45° angle of incidence, p-polarized light) is 10 μm. The length of the space charge layer is estimated to be 830 nm for the n-type, lightly P-doped samples (30°, 4 × 10¹⁴ cm⁻³) used in this study. Therefore, approximately 10% of the free carriers fall within the space charge layer and can diffuse toward the surface. Thus, approximately 10¹⁸ cm⁻² electrons are available at the surface to react with the reactive species of the ambient, i.e., O₂ or H₂O. Wade et al. have suggested that the presence of the excess electrons at the Si(111)−H surface can help the one-electron transfer reaction to O₂ (O₂ + e− → O₂⁻) take place in 40% NH₄F solution containing oxygen.46 Even though this mechanism was proposed to explain the etch-pit formation in 40% NH₄F solution containing oxygen, the authors have pointed out that this mechanism may lead to oxide formation in the absence of fluoride in air-containing water or in humid air.46 Therefore, a mechanism involving photogenerated e−•h pairs is a plausible explanation of the observed photoenhanced oxidation. The above-proposed role of photons in the oxidation of Si(111)−H suggests a linear dependence of the initial oxidation rate on the input intensity. Furthermore, an enhancement of initial oxidation rate for p-type Si(111)−H by 800 nm photons would be expected. Further studies on the dependence of photooxidation on doping are in progress.

Conclusion

We have investigated the photooxidation of Si(111)−H surface using SHG at 800 nm. We found that 800 nm photons accelerate the initial stage of oxidation of Si(111)−H in ambient. The cross-section of the photoinduced process was found to be (1.7 ± 0.5) × 10⁻²² cm². The power dependence of the initial oxidation rate showed that the process is saturated for photon fluxes > 10¹⁹ photon cm⁻² s⁻¹. The exclusion of water and oxygen slows down the oxidation process, indicating that both play a role as reactants in this process. The direct photoexcitation of Si−H bond or H desorption due to substrate heating was excluded as a possible mechanism. No induction period was observed in contrast to Ye et al., which we attributed to the higher flux used in this study.
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